

*Attorney Docket No.: P16019**Patent Appl No: 10,735,122*

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's attention to the above referenced application. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested. Claims 1, 12-17, 25, 27-31 were rejected. Claims 1, 12-16, 25, 27-30 have been amended. Claims 1, 12-17, 25, 27-31 are now pending, of which claims 1, 12, 25 are independent.

Claim Objections

Claims 12-16 and 30 have been amended to overcome informalities, thus Applicants respectfully request that the objections to these claims be withdrawn.

§ 112 Rejection of the Claims

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Claim 1 has been amended to overcome this rejection, thus reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claims 12-17 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, for omitting essential steps. Claims 12-17 have been amended to overcome this rejection, thus reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of these claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 25, 27-31 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Claims 25, 27-31 have been amended to overcome this rejection, thus reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of these claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 25, 27-31 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, for omitting essential steps. Claims 25, 27-31 have been amended to overcome this rejection, thus reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of these claims is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket No.: P16019

Patent Appl No: 10,735,122

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 12–16, 25, 27-30 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over ___ Ivanov in view of Ogawa ('371) and further in view of Ogawa ('474) and further in view of Ogawa ('523) and Ogawa (20010031364) and further in view of Fleckenstein. Claims 17 and 31 have been rejected under cited prior art and further in view of Cottle. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, which should be withdrawn for at least the reasons set forth herein.

The Office contends it would have been obvious to subject thiol group containing compounds attached to pores as in Fleckenstein to known oxidative crosslinking to form disulfide bonds between adjacent oxidized thiol groups, to which the Applicants do not concede.

Fleckstein discloses impregnating prostheses with biological gelatin and crosslinking the same with thiol group containing compounds with disulfide bond formation.

One skilled in the art would not be motivated to combine a biological, non-silane, thiol reference with the other references cited in the Office Action. There is no suggestion in the prior art references cited to suggest the desirability of utilizing a gelatinous, non silane containing material as a coupling reagent. Additionally, the Fleckstein reference teaches away from the other prior art references cited by the Office, since Ivanov, for example, discloses the use of any silane material, and Fleckenstein discloses the use of a gelatinous material. It is improper to combine references where the references teach away from their combination (MPEP 2145§ X.D(2)).

Because Ivanov/Ojawa nor Fleckstein, nor Cottle, either alone or in combination, suggest or motivate, nor teach all of the limitations of claim 12 and 25 (from which claims

Attorney Docket No.: P16019

Patent Appl No: 10,735,122

13-17 and 27-31 depend), these claims are not rendered obvious by Ivanov/Ojawa/Fleckstein/Cottle. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Section 103(a) rejection of claims 12-17, 25, 27-31 is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket No.: P16019

Patent Appl No: 10,735,122

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in view of the remarks and amendments set forth above. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at **480-715-5488**. Please charge any shortage of fees in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 50-0221 and please credit any excess fees to such account.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number: 59796

Dated: December 23, 2008

/Kathy J. Ortiz/

Kathy J. Ortiz,
Reg. No. 54, 351
Patent Attorney
Intel Corporation
480 715 5488

Intel Corporation
c/o Intellevate, LLC
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited either via facsimile or via the United States Postal Service addressed to: MS Amendment, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 23 day of December 2008.

Kyrstin Ryan

Name

/Kyrstin Ryan/

Signature